

May 7, 2024

Representative Susan R. Donovan, Chair House Committee on Health and Human Services RI General Assembly RI State House 82 Smith St. Providence, RI 02903

Re: Testimony in OPPOSITION of HOUSE bill: H 8237, NURSE ANESTHETISTS
Dear Chair Miller,

I, Denise Coppa, from House District 31 and Legislative Liaison, of the Nurse Practitioner Alliance of Rhode Island, Director of the Family Nurse Practitioner Program at the University of RI and a practicing nurse practitioner am writing in OPPOSITION of House Bill 8237. To clarify, Certified Nurse Practitioners (CNP) are Advanced Practice Providers (APPs) who have successfully completed advanced education at the masters and/ or doctoral level and have taken professional certification or "board" exams that allow them to be licensed by the state of RI, with full practice authority. This includes the ability to diagnose, treat, and prescribe medications and to be the provider of record for citizens in Rhode Island, across the life span. Most importantly, nothing in statute, nor regulations, prevents nurse practitioners from prescribing pharmaceutical agents that aid in MODERATE sedation. The bill was put together without considering the limitations to patient access to emergency, critical care and diagnostic procedures that are conducted on a daily basis to patients across the country and in Rhode Island, safely, by nurse practitioners.

This bill was hastily constructed as a knee jerk reaction to an OPINION by the Department of Health, by a committee who did not have the total picture of the actual clinical practice. The nurse practitioners in question are highly qualified and credentialed nurse practitioners who have gone beyond their basic educational requirements to meet professional and institutional guidelines for safe administration of pharmaceutical agents used in moderate sedation, not complete sedation. The Department of Health has not finished its work on its opinion to provide a foundation for this legislation. Who will be adversely impacted by this bill? patients. Think about having to wait days, not minutes, for a cardiac catherization in an emergency situation? What about in a cardiac arrest when some of these agents are actually used to save a life? What about the children who are in oncology and need expedient diagnostic procedures so they can start cancer treatment as soon as possible? Should we be sending them to Boston? Really? I don't think that would ever by the intent of this committee.

So, let's take a breath. Let's pause and allow the Department of Health and other stakeholders to re-evaluate this situation. We need to consider patient safety and access, not get embroiled in a labor dispute that will never get untangled. Let's work together for bill language that considers all parties, most importantly the patients.

If the committee looks more closely at the genesis of this bill, they would see that this is as a result of a labor dispute at Lifespan. The issues are regulatory, not legislative. Please stop this bill. Sincerely,

Denise Coppa, PHD, APRN-CNP, FAANP, FAAN

224 Cole Dr., N. Kingstown, RI 02852 (House District: 31)

PO BOX 1144 EAST GREENWICH RHODE ISLAND 02818