

Roberta DiMezza

From: Adam Belanger <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 16, 2025 1:11 PM
To: House Judiciary Committee
Subject: Oppose H5127 pro-rated security deposit increases

Dear Committee Clerk DiMezza,

In the grand scheme of things this is such a silly bill to even propose and a complete waste of taxpayer money and time.

I oppose H5127, which allows tenants to pay an increase in a security deposit over a year.

Rent increases are usually small, and this would require a lot of extra bookkeeping and tracking for a pretty small dollar amount.

It also means that for 1part of the lease, a landlord would have less than one month's rent security deposit, which is unfair.

I don't think the increased burden on landlords is justified for the small dollar amounts. For example, a rent increase of \$50 would mean the landlord has to track a \$4.17 payment each month.

I hope that you agree that legislating payments of a few dollars a month over a year is excessive, and vote not to advance this bill.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Belanger
57 W Barrows St
Cumberland, RI 02864
belanger.ad@gmail.com