Ariana Costa

From: Marie Carpinelli <mariecarpinelli@verizon.net>

Sent: Monday, March 24, 2025 12:32 PM

To: House Judiciary Committee

Subject: X Reject ■ H-5436 -- Assault Weapons Ban in Rhode Island: More reasons Why It's a

Problem

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Follow up

Good afternoon,

I urge you to do your constitutional duty and reject this bill!!! If you do your research, you will see that this proposed bill is unlawful and does ZERO to stop criminals! Please, do the research. Read below. Vote according to your sworn duty to UPHOLD the law, the constitution, and OUR RIGHTS!

The proposed H-5436 bill sounds like a safety win but dig deeper—it's got serious flaws and nothing to do with safety:

- 1. 5th Amendment Trouble: It bans transferring firearms in estates, slashing their value without compensation. The Constitution says that's a "taking"—and heirs could sue
- 2. Probate Nightmares: The bill's wording could mean pricey legal battles to transfer firearms after someone passes, often costing more than the gun's worth.
- 3. Way Beyond "Assault Rifles": This isn't just about military-style guns—it will ban 74% of all firearms with detachable magazines, and any revolver or handgun weighing over 50oz. empty, which many have legally owned for over a century.
- 4. Clashes with RI Law: Since 1959, Rhode Island has banned gun registration (R.I.G.L. 11-47-41). This bill breaks that rule.
- 5. Self-Defense at Risk: The Supreme Court (Castle Rock v. Gonzales, 2005) says police don't have to protect you. This bill could leave law-abiding Rhode Islanders defenseless against armed criminals.

6. Misleading Spin: Polls push the idea this only targets "military weapons," but it hits most firearms. True military guns are already banned!

The Bottom Line: H-5436 won't save lives—it'll disarm responsible Rhode Islanders who've passed strict training and background checks. Is this really about safety, or control?

Thank you,

Marie Carpinelli Cranston, RI