

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5891.

I urge you to also oppose this. There is current motion at the Federal level to restore gun rights to non-violent felons who have served their time and have returned to being productive members of society. On the other hand, here we are in RI trying to take this right away from even more people who were not otherwise incapable of purchasing a firearm, once they have also met their legal penalties. This is just one more bill to try to remove Consitutionally protected rights from more people, because you feel that if you can remove rights from enough people, there won't be anybody left that can own a gun. Let's face it, the only reason this bill was introduced is because you are afraid that the Federal regulation changes will succeed and you want to counter that before it does. Which makes this simply unconstitutional gun control law (The right of the people to keep and bear arms SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED) and we need to refuse to support this.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB6042.

This is another example of a very bad bill. We cannot be allowing some people to have access to their rights, while not allowing others that same opportunity. Any law, not just gun laws, where a specific group of people are exempted is wrong. If anybody is allowed to carry a gun in RI without a permit, then that right should be extended to all. I expect a bill to be introduced next year to bring us up with the 28 states that have Constitutional Carry laws, allowing all lawful people to carry a firearm on their person. This is the only proper way to allow any unlicensed person the right to carry a firearm.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5933.

Please vote against this bill. Currently there are no enforceable regulations on stun guns. While that may not be comfortable for some people, I say that is better than what is put out in this bill. If we can change this to remove the requirement of holding a CCW permit to carry a stun gun in public, then maybe it can be allowed through. The reason many people will want to buy and carry a stun gun is that they are not comfortable around guns. The CCW requirement will make that person either give up their right of protection with a less than lethal option, or be forced to use, shoot, and practice a lot and often with a firearm that they do not want to, only for the purpose of obtaining and renewing their permit to carry a less than lethal alternative.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5934.

Please vote against this bill. Currently there are no enforceable regulations on stun guns. While that may not be comfortable for some people, I say that is better than what is put out in this bill. If we can change this to remove the requirement of holding a CCW permit to carry a stun gun in public, then maybe it can be allowed through. The reason many people will want to buy and carry a stun gun is that they are not comfortable around guns. The CCW requirement will make that person either give up their right of protection with a less than lethal option, or be forced to use, shoot, and practice a lot and often with a firearm that they do not want to, only for the purpose of obtaining and renewing their permit to carry a less than lethal alternative.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Support bill HB5936.

Please vote in support of this bill. This is just common sense. Every single interaction we the people have with the governments in this state has some kind of appeal process to review the decisions made - except for a concealed carry permit application, where an issuing authority can simply say "No, you cannot exercise your Constitutional right", and there is nothing we can do about it. This is a common sense gun law and needs to pass

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

**Roberta DiMezza**

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Support bill HB5935.

This bill needs to pass. Massachusetts just recently lost a lawsuit over somebody crossing state lines with their legally licensed firearm. The Federal judge's ruling stated that "your rights don't stop because you cross a state border". This law will protect our state from similar lawsuits, which we will lose, by recognizing this Federal ruling and providing ourselves with the proper response.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <MGSecurity@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5890.

Here is yet another bill thought up by the unthinking. There is a bill that just went to the House committee on Elections to allow 16 year olds to register to vote, lowered from 18, and this one that raises the age of somebody to possess a firearm to 18. This bill is about hunting, as it is already illegal in this state for anybody under the age of 18 to possess a handgun, or to possess a long gun without supervision while hunting. We really need to pick an age here. If 16 is OK to vote, then it should also be OK to buy a gun, to buy cigarettes, drink alcohol, and join the military. Or maybe we need to set the age of adulthood at 21, which means extending the age to vote, drive, and purchase tobacco and weed. It is hypocritical to say that a 16 year old is mature enough to make decisions that shape their life and their country, but also to tell them that they are too young to make decisions that shape their life and their country.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5654

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5654.

This bill is not needed, and your "description" is misleading. First off, California just lost their case regarding not issuing permits to out of state residents, so we know that we can't actually do this. Second, we all know the reason for this bill is to stop Rhode Islanders from going to towns they don't live in to apply for a permit, because their home town won't issue permits to lawful residents. I urge you, instead of voting yes on this bill, let's not let it leave the committee, let it die. Then, vote yes on HB5653, which will actually fix the problem and require all towns to follow the letter of the law while reviewing and issuing permits.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261



**Roberta DiMezza**

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5889

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5889.

This is a ridiculous bill. The purpose of expungement is to allow a person to move on with their life after doing their legal penance. This bill is as bad as HB5651 in that it only allows expunged records to be used for purposes of firearms requests. If you were honest, you would change the wording to allow expunged records to be used in ALL background checks, because you are clearly stating that you don't trust people who have had records expunged. This is discriminatory as it is limited in scope. The fact that this is being limited to firearms is disgusting, and cannot be allowed to pass.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5653

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Support bill HB5653.

I urge you to also support this bill. The state law, in section 11-47 lays out the requirements for what needs to happen for a person to apply for and obtain a concealed carry permit. This includes a background check, fingerprints, and even a shooting qualification. However, some towns have been doing their best to find ways to refuse law abiding citizens access to their right to keep and bear arms - specifically the bearing arms part of that statement (Article 1 Section 22 of the RI state Constitution). This law will stop that abuse by local police departments and set the same requirements for all towns. This will also make HB5654 unnecessary as residents will not need to try jumping from town to town to get their permit while they live in a city that finds any excuse to refuse to issue a permit.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5651

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5651.

Children make mistakes. They are allowed to make mistakes growing up, as that is part of the process of learning. This bill will allow towns to bring up records from children, but ONLY for the purpose of purchasing a firearm or requesting a CCW permit. This is discriminatory as it is limited in scope. If you feel that children should be held to a higher standard, then this bill should allow juvenile records be accessible for ALL background checks, including those that are required for teachers, or CDL licenses, or any other request. The fact that this is being limited to firearms is disgusting, and cannot be allowed to pass.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

**Roberta DiMezza**

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5652

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5652.

I urge you to also oppose this. There is current motion at the Federal level to restore gun rights to non-violent felons who have served their time and have returned to being productive members of society. On the other hand, here we are in RI trying to take this right away from even more people who were not otherwise incapable of purchasing a firearm, once they have also met their legal penalties. Let's face it, this is just one more bill to try to remove Constitutionally protected rights from more people, because you feel that if you can remove rights from enough people, there won't be anybody left that can own a gun. Which makes this simply unconstitutional gun control law and we need to refuse to support this.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5443

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5443.

This bill is absolutely not needed. There is already a process for an individual to voluntarily not purchase or possess a firearm. They can transfer anything they currently own to a friend, and they can simply not go to a gun store and purchase a gun. The biggest problem here is that once they make it onto the list, it will be used against them for the rest of their life, not just until their personal crisis has ended. This makes me believe that the General Assembly does not understand what their job is. The job of State Representative is not to pass new laws, it's to maintain safety and security of the residents of this state. I submit that to this end, we should be working to remove as many laws as we create, instead of just adding more and redundant laws on top of each other. You are not graded on the number of laws you pass each year.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261

## Roberta DiMezza

---

**From:** Michael Guilmain <mguilmain@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 24, 2025 9:04 PM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Please consider my opinion on bill HB5436

Hello House Judiciary Committee;

My name is Michael Guilmain and I am a lifelong Rhode Island resident. I am writing to you today to state that I Oppose bill HB5436.

This ban is unconstitutional on its face. There is no historical text or tradition of banning firearms in this country, per the NYRSPA v Bruen decision. But above and beyond that, I have seen responses from several members of this committee that states that "high powered rifles" should be banned on principal. This just shows how ignorant of firearms that some members of the committee are. In many states, the standard roudn in an AR platform, 5.56x45mm, is considered so low powered, it is not allowed to be used for hunting. Going even further, the feature test that is being applied is all based on cosmetic accessories. Not a single one of them makes the firearm any more or less dangerous to anyone who might become a victim of that gun. They do, however, make it LESS safe for the legal owner to safely use their own firearm for recreational or hunting purposes. The newly invented 6th feature on the list, the barrel shroud, literally states that the purpose of the shroud is to allow the shooter to hold the gun without getting burned by the barrel. This clearly shows that this is NOT a gun "safety" bill, but merely a gun "control" bill.

I would be happy to discuss this with you further in more detail, please feel free to reach out to me.

Sincerely,  
Michael Guilmain  
401-578-5261